

Abhath Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences *Website:https://ojs.abhath-ye.com/index.php/OJSRJBAS doi:https://doi.org/10.59846/ajbas.v2i1.483 Research paper, Short communication, Review, Technical paper*

FT-IR, NMR spectral analysis and theoretical NBO, FMOs, UV-Vis analysis of 7,7',8,8'-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) in its ground and excited states by DFT and CIS methods

Sabah Yahya Huthaily*

Faculty Of Education ,Department Of Physics, Hodeidah University, Yemen

**Corresponding author E-mail:*s_huthaily@yahoo.com

Received: 05*October 2023. Accepted :*23*November 2023. Published: xxDecember 2023*

Abstract

The Gaussian 03W program has been used for theoretical computations to compute optimal geometry, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), vibrational frequencies, natural atomic charges, natural bond orbital (NBO), frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs), Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) analysis at DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) and ab initio CIS/6-31G(d) methods for 7,7',8,8'-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) $(C_{12}H_4N_4)$ in the ground as well as in the excited states. The ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR and further research is done on other molecular char*acteristics. The scaled vibrational frequencies and published works have been compared. The title molecule's infrared spectra are provided with a complete interpretation. In addition, stability of the molecule arising from hyperconjugative interactions. NBO analysis has been used to analyze charge delocalization. The findings demonstrate that charge in electron density* (ED) in the π^* anti- bonding orbit*als and E(2) energies verifies that intra-molecular charge transfer (ICT) is occurring within the molecule. The highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) energies that were computed demonstrate that charge transfer takes place inside the molecule.. Finally, the UV-Vis spectrum was measured in gas phase.*

Keywords:*TCNQ, DFT, CIS, molecular structure, NMR, vibrational asssignement, natural atomic charge, NBO, FMOs, UV-Visible analysis.*

1. Introduction

Crystalline organic materials, or MOMs, are soft solids which involve organic molecules arranged in a three-dimensional periodic distribution with weak intermolecular force. Dipolar (permanent or fluctuating) charges and hydrogen bonding, and *π*-*π* interactions basically mediate the transition among molecules [1]. Additionally, organic materials are beginning to show promise as materials for solar cells, photodiodes, transistors, and (bio)chemical sensors [2–5]. One important factor is the effectiveness of charge transmission in the organic layer(s) plays a key role [6].

In materials research, charge-transfer (CT) systems are currently of great importance. These systems can be found in organic semiconducting polymers or in molecular optoelectronic devices including molecular-based transistors, wires, and rectifiers [7-10]. As a powerfully electron acceptor, highly polar molecule,7,7',8,8'-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) $(C_{12}H_4N_4)$ is frequently utilized to create highly conducting charge-transfer complexes [11-14]. TCNQ is known to form CT complexes with many aromatic hydrocarbons [15].

Molecular force fields produced by the density functional theory (DFT) approach, which takes into account local or nonlocal functional, are extremely consistent with experimental results. The Becke's three-parameter exchange functional (B3) [16] and the Lee, Young, and Parr correlation functional (LYP) [17] were combined to create the B3LYP [16-18] approach, which is selected to perform the calculations. GaussView 4.1 [19] tool, a molecular visualization, is used to perform all of the computations. An effective method for predicting the characteristics of electronically excited states of aromatic molecules is the configuration interaction singles (CIS) method used in ab initio molecular orbital computations [20], which were recently introduced. The application of this computational method was effective in predicting the vibrational frequencies and molecular geometries of benzene in its electrically excited states [21].

The primary goal of this work is to identify theoretical approaches that provide a greater degree of accuracy when determining vibrational wavenumbers and molecular structural characteristics.. In addition to this, the NMR, FMOs, NBO analysis, UV-Vis spectrum have been used to elucidate the information regarding charge transfer within the molecule.

2. Computational methods

A Pentium IV personal computer with 2.8 GHz processor and 256 MB RAM was utilized to run all the calculations. The Gaussian 03W program package [22] was used to do the calculations.

In this study, the DFT approach (B3LYP) and CIS methods adopting split valence 6-31G(d) besis set has been applied to the computation of vibrational frequencies, molecular structure, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrum, natural bond orbitals (NBO), chemical hardness (η) , chemical potential (μ) , thermodynamic properties, and energies of the TCNQ molecule in its ground state (S_0) as well as in its excited state (S_1) . Without any restrictions, the potential energy surface had minimal geometry created using standard geometrical. Vibrational frequency calculations at the DFT level were performed using the optimized structural parameters, which allowed all stationary points to be characterized as minima. Then, harmonic vibrational frequencies were calculated using vibrationally averaged nuclear locations of TCNQ, yielding IR frequencies and their intensities. The CIS method was used for modeling the electronically excited states in the frozen core approximation. One of the few ab initio methods for optimizing the geometry of molecules in their excited states is the CIS method. With a minimal demand on computer resources, it offers analytical first and second derivatives that allow geometrical optimizations in the electronically excited state [20]. The NBO calculations were carried out using the NBO 3.1 [23] program as implemented in the Gaussian 03W [22] package at the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) and CIS/6-31G(d) levels in order to understand various second order

Table 1 Optimized geometrical parameters, bond length (Å) and angle (\circ), for TCNQ molecule in its ground (S_0) and excited (S_1)

states calculated by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method.

interactions between the filled orbitals of one subsystem and unoccupied orbitals of another subsystem, which is an indicator of hyper conjugation or intermolecular delocalization.

Figure 1 The calculated unit cell of TCNQ molecule by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method.

3. Results and discussion 3.1. Molecular geometry

Theoretical crystal structural simulations of TCNQ molecule at room temperature show a triclinic shape with space group P_1 and lattice parameters $a = 9.3760 \text{ Å}$, $b = 5.4290 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 1.0121 \text{ Å}$ (see Figure1). The TCNQ molecule's optimal structural parameters were determined at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) and CIS/6-31G(d) levels, for both the S_0 and S_1 states, respectively, are mentioned in Table 1. This molecule's crystal structure is unavailable, hence the structure that is optimal only be compared to other comparable systems whose configurations have also been optimized [24-26]. For instance, the range of 1.3598-1.4498 Å for B3LYP/6-31G (d) method in the ground state, which corresponds to the optimal bond lengths of C–C in the phenyl ring, is in good agreement with the literature [26] for phenyl ring 1.3782-1.4205 Å. In these species, there are three different kinds of CC bonds: strained $C = C$, double C=C, and single C–C bonds. The current molecule is made up of two methylene groups that are connected to one phenyl ring by four cyano (nitrile) groups. Since the molecule under study has C_1 point group symmetry, no species distribution would be meaningful for C_1 point group.

Ring $C = C$, C-H, and C≡N bond lengths drop as a result of the primary electronic excitation from the S_0 to the S_1 state, which is a reduction in the aromatic ring as seen in Table 1. The angles ∠C2C1C6 , ∠C1C2C3 , ∠C3C4C5 and ∠C4C5C6 tighten down by about 0.03° due to the π -electron interaction between the aromatic ring and the NCC group while ∠C2C3C4 and ∠C1C6C5 to open up by 0.06° . The planar TCNQ molecule in the S_1 state has a slightly different ring geometry than a perfect hexagon.

3.2. NMR calculation

When attempting to identify reactive ionic species, the isotropic chemical shifts are commonly utilized. It is acknowledged that valid computations of magnetic characteristics depend on precise predictions of molecular geometries [27]. Using the 6-31G(d) basis set and the B3LYP approach, the molecular structure of TCNQ is optimized in this work. Then , using the GIAO technique, the ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ chemical shifts were computed at the same theoretical level [28]. The GIAO was applied more effectively when the procedure was successfully applied to the ab initio self-consistent file (SCF) computational, using techniques drawn from analytic derivatives methodology, greatly enhanced the application of the GIAO [29] approach to molecular systems. Due to its quicker convergence of the computed properties upon expanding the basis set, the GIAO approach is considerably better [30].

Tables 2(a, b and c) provide the theoretical values for ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$ and ¹⁵N NMR of TCNQ molecule. The calculation reported here were performed in gas phase. Chemical shifts were reported in part per million (ppm). The ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR chemical shifts are shown in Figure 2. The NMR spectra for proton, carbon and nitrogen atoms show degenerate peaks which are condensed together (degeneracy tolerance 0.05).

Figure 2 The calculated ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ NMR spectra of TCNO molecule.

In this work, the calculated proton NMR chemical shifts show only one proton peak at chemical shift 25.1169 ppm rather than four peaks (see Figure 2). 13 C NMR chemical shifts for the title molecule are in the range from 45.09215 to 104.5578 ppm, according to (Table 2b)'s expectations. There are twelve peaks in the chemical formula, but only four peaks with varying strengths may be seen in the carbon NMR spectrum. The conclusion is that symmetry exists, rendering certain carbon atoms identical. When the N atom, i.e. more electronegative property, bonds to the nearby carbon atom, it polarizes the electron distribution and lowers the electron density at the bridge of the molecule in question. In the present work, the nitrogen NMR chemical shifts show only one peak at -56.8395 ppm rather than four peaks as expected from the molecular formula.

Table 2a Calculated ¹H NMR chemical shifts (ppm) of the TCNQ molecule.

Atoms	Degeneracy	Shielding (ppm)
H7		25.1169
H8		25.1169
H9		25.1169
H10		25.1169

Table 2b Calculated ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (ppm) of the TCNQ molecule**.**

Atoms	Degeneracy	Shielding (ppm)
C ₃	2	45.09215
C6	2	45.09215
C1		66.23815
C ₂	4	66.23815
C ₄	4	66.23815
C ₅	4	66.23815
C13		81.55370
C16		81.55370
C14	4	81.55370
C15	4	81.55370
C11	2	104.5578
C12	2	104.5578

Table 2c Calculated ¹⁵N NMR chemical shifts (ppm) of the TCNQ molecule.

3.3. Vibrational assignments

There are 20 atoms in the TCNQ molecule and therefore contains 54 normal modes of fundamental vibrations. The 54 fundamental vibrations are fall into 37 in-plane vibrations of A'species and 17 out-of-plane vibrations of \vec{A} species, i.e., \vec{I} =37 \vec{A}' (planar) $+$ 17 A ["] (non-planar). All the 54 fundamental vibrations are active in IR. The vibrational frequencies of the TCNQ in the S_0 state, calculated at the B3LYP level of theory using the double split valence basis 6-31G(d) are presented in Table 3 (see appendix). The calculated frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.9608 [31]. Within each basic wavenumber, the estimated modes are numbered from the lowest to the largest frequency. Figure 3 shows the stick infrared (IR) spectrum of TCNQ molecule in the ground state calculated at DFT/B3LYP level using 6-31G(d) basis set. Molecular symmetry, reduced masses, force constant, and the specific motion of each individual atom are the primary factors considered when assigning each vibration. To verify the assignment, the computed frequencies are further checked with those published for additional benzene derivatives. Varsanyi and Szoke convention for benzene derivatives is followed for labeling the normal modes [32]. Table 3 demonstrates the induced IR intensity activity, reduces mass, and force constant of TCNQ molecule.

DFT/B3LYP level at 6-31G(d) basis set.

Every vibrational mode was attributed to one of the nine motion types that the point group analysis anticipated: stretching, inplane bending, out-of-plane bending, torsion, rocking, twisting, wagging, scissoring, butterfly [33].

3.3.1.C-H vibrations

Aromatic compounds exhibit one or more strong peaks between 3100 and 3000 cm⁻¹ that are weak to medium in intensity. The type of the substituent has no discernible effect on the bands in this region [34]. The TCNQ molecule has four C-H moieties, making it a disubstituted aromatic system. The four anticipated C-H stretching vibrations that match the C1–H, C2–H, C4–H and C5–H units' stretching modes. The computed vibrations (mode nos. 54–51) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 3113.828, 3111.691, 3096.883 and 3096.827 cm^{-1} , in this work, are attributed to C-H stretching vibrations and exhibit a similar agreement to those documented in previous studies [26,35].

Benzene and its derivatives' aromatic C-H in-plane bending vibrations are detected in the region $1300-1000$ cm⁻¹. The bands are mild to medium intensity but still crisp [36]. The computed vibration (mode no. 38) by B3LYP/6-31 $G(d)$ level at 1215.309 cm−1 , in this work, is attributed to the aromatic ring's C-H inplane bending vibration, and the result is comparable to that found in published literature [26].

Typically, the $1000-675$ cm⁻¹ region is where absorption bands resulting from C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations are detected [37]. In this work, the computed vibrations (mode nos. 34, 33, 29, 28 and 25) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) level at 1008.784, 999.7697, 869.2360, 805.2704 and 681.667 cm⁻¹ are attributed to C–H out-of-plane bending vibration of aromatic ring and the results are comparable to those documented in published literature [26].

3.3.2.Carbon vibrations

If the carbon double bonds $(C=C)$ are conjugated with the ring, the vibrations become more interesting. The form of the substitution around the ring determines the actual positions more so than the substance of the substituents [38]. Raman and infrared bands appear in the region $1690-1560$ cm⁻¹ when a carbon double bond stretches. In the infrared, the bands are frequently very weak or not visible at all. Low double bond C=C stretching frequencies are also observed in bridged rings with unsubstitude double bonds [34]. In this work, the computed vibrations (mode nos. 44, 37 & 36) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 1535.313, 1199.689 and 1168.031 cm−1 are related to asymmetric stretching vibration of C=C bond while that computed vibration (mode no. 43) at 1442.501 cm^{-1} is related to symmetric stretching vibration of C=C bond. The computed vibrations (mode nos. 22, 21 &18) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 595.9928, 594.9410 and 501.2849 cm⁻¹ are related to in-plane bending vibration of aliphatic C-C-C while that computed vibration (mode no. 15) at 421.7900 cm^{-1} is related to out-of-plane bending vibration of aliphatic C-C-C.

3.3.3.C≡N vibrations

In comparison to saturated compounds, unsaturated or aromatic nitriles—where the double bond or ring is next to the carbon nitrogen C≡N group—absorb more strongly in the infrared spectrum, with the bands occurring at a slightly lower frequency around 2230 cm−1 [34]. In this work, the computed vibrations (mode nos. 50 & 49) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 2201.317 and 2199.337 cm^{-1} are related to symmetric stretching vibration of C≡N group while that computed vibrations (mode nos. 48 & 47) at 2186.147 and 2186.093 cm⁻¹ are related to asymmetric stretching vibration of C≡N group. Sundaraganesana et al. [39] claimed that, the C≡N stretching vibration of the benzonitrile compounds is computed at 2221cm−1 . The theoretically computed vibrations (mode nos. 7 & 5) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 135.4657 and 114.4504 cm⁻¹ are assigned to in-plane bending vibrations of NC-C-C≡N group, while that computed vibration (mode no. 2) at 48.17711 cm^{-1} is related to out-of-plane bending vibration of NC-C-C≡N group.

3.3.4.Phenyl ring vibrations

Carbon-carbon $(C - C)$ single bonds are the main type of ring modes in benzene. The benzene ring has six stretching vibrations, four of which are good group vibrations and have the highest magnitudes of wavenumbers, occurring close to 1600, 1580, 1490 and 1440 cm−1 are good group vibrations [40]. The bands tend to broaden the absorption zone with heavier substituents, shifting to somewhat lower wavenumbers and more substituents on the ring. The anticipated range for these vibrations is 1620–1260 cm⁻¹ [40]. In this work, the computed C=C stretching vibrations (mode nos. 46, 45, 42 & 40) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 1617.204, 1544.066, 1435.868 and 1346.967 cm⁻¹ are related to the stretching vibrations of the skeleton carbon.

The region where the fifth ring stretching vibration is located, active near 1315 ± 65 cm⁻¹, closely overlaps with the C-H in-plane deformation [40]. In this work, the computed C=C stretching vibrations (mode nos. 41, 39 $\&$ 35) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 1397.149, 1320.507 and 1100.543 cm⁻¹ are related to C=C stretching coupled with C–H in-plane bending vibration.

It has been reported that the ring breathing mode of para substituted benzene, with completely distinct substituents, is strongly IR active, with characteristic bands in the interval 850-780 cm⁻¹[32]. For the TCNQ molecule phenyl ring breathing mode calculated theoretically by the B3LYP/6-31G(d) method (mode no. 30) is predicted at 943.2986 cm-1 .

The theoretically computed vibrations (mode nos. 32, 31, 26 & 23) by B3LYP/6-31G(d) method at 986.6320, 962.6444, 706.1195and 618.7186 cm⁻¹ are related to in-plane bending vibrations of ring C-C-C, while that computed vibrations (mode nos. 27, 24, 17 & 14) at 780.8865, 630.3350, 465.9297 and 385.0637 cm−1 are related to out-of-plane bending vibration of ring C-C-C.

3.3.5.Lattice mode vibrations

"Lattice mode (butterfly)" is commonly referred to for frequency bands; these modes have been observed and calculated for a number of tiny and large molecular systems [41,42]. In this work, these bands have been predicted at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level for title molecule (mode nos. 20, 19, 13-8, 6, 4, 3 & 1) at 542.1192, 516.4906, 365.7454-143.3070, 131.5546, 111.6536, 82.13168 and 47.00695 cm⁻¹.

3.4. Natural atomic charge

Atomic charge has been used to explain how chemical reactions produce electronegativity equalization and charge transfer [43]. In this work, the natural atomic charges, which shows the distribution of electron density, of TCNQ molecule in its ground and excited states are calculated by B3LYP/6-31G(d) and exhibited in Table 4. On the one hand, when TCNQ molecule is in its ground state the H9, H7, H10, H8, C3 and C6 atoms of TCNQ can accommodate higher positive charge and become more acidic. The bond lengths between C1-C2 and C4-C5 are exactly equal each other, 1.3598 Å. This observation supported the idea that the negative charge was delocalized through carbons C1, C2, C4 and C5. The atomic charges in the cyano group are almost identical in the molecules. On the other hand, the excited state of TCNQ molecule causes the positive charge of C3 and C6 becomes less positive (+0.128e) charge. As a consequence of excitation, the TCNQ molecule becomes less acidic. Overall, the nitrogen is the most negatively charged atom. The presence of C≡N bond leads to C11 and C12 become more acidic (i.e. high positive charge). Consequently, a change in the material's charge distribution will result from the application of electric[44]

Table 4 Natural atomic charges of TCNQ calculations performed at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.

	Charge (a.u.)				
Atom	S_0	S ₁			
C1	-0.134207	-0.163813			
C ₂	-0.134206	-0.163813			
C ₃	0.151859	0.023486			
C ₄	-0.134207	-0.163813			
C ₅	-0.134207	-0.163813			
C ₆	0.151858	0.023486			
H7	0.187391	0.275605			
H8	0.187389	0.275605			
H ₉	0.187393	0.275605			
H ₁₀	0.187390	0.275605			
C11	0.113716	0.153990			
C ₁₂	0.113710	0.153990			
C13	0.020454	0.041296			
C14	0.020415	0.041295			
C ₁₅	0.020528	0.041295			
C16	0.020364	0.041296			
N17	-0.206452	-0.241826			
N18	-0.206359	-0.241825			
N19	-0.206486	-0.241825			
N20	-0.206344	-0.241826			

3.5. Other features of TCNQ molecule

The TCNQ molecule's computed thermodynamic parameters in its S_0 and S_1 states are found by B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory and shown in Table 5. It has been suggested that scale factors be used in order to accurately anticipate the -point vibration energy (ZPVE), and the entropy, $S_{vib}(T)$ [45]. The differences in the ZPVE's see appear to be negligible. Moreover, the total energy and variations in the total entropy of TCNQ molecule at room temperature using the DFT and CIS approaches are also shown. The calculated dipole moment of the TCNQ in its ground and excited states are 0.0005 and zero (D), respectively. The dipole moment vector is actually oriented out of the ring plane in the y-direction (as seen from Figure 4). The small value of the dipole moment is attributed to low rearrangement of Mülliken charge [46]. The calculated self-consistent field (SCF) energies of TCNQ molecule in its ground and excited states are - 678.382824056 Hrtree (-18459.88250 eV) and -674.162732632 Hrtree (-18345.04662 eV), respectively.

Figure 4 Some properties of TCNQ molecule in its ground state obtained from B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations.

The chemical potential (μ) and chemical hardness (η) are defined as [47]:

 $\mu = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial N}\right)$ $\frac{\partial E}{\partial N}\Big)_{T,V}$ η = $\frac{1}{2}$ $rac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial N^2} \right)$ $\frac{\partial E}{\partial N^2}$ _{T,V} (1)

where E is the total energy, N is the number of electrons, T is the absolute temperature and V is the external potential. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energies are used to compute these values, determined at B3LYP/6-31G(d) and CIS/6-31G(d) levels of theory using the expression:

$$
\mu = -\left(\frac{l^p + EA}{2}\right)\eta = \left(\frac{l^p - EA}{2}\right) \tag{2}
$$

where $IP = -E_{HOMO}$ and $EA = -E_{LUMO}$, IP and EA are the ionization potential and electron affinity of a molecule, respectively. In the present work, the calculated E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , IP, EA, μ and η in the ground and excited states for TCNO molecule are collected in Table 5. The electron affinity of TCNQ molecule in the ground state is 4.84339 eV which is high because TCNQis a conjugated π –system, flat, extremely symmetrical molecule with four electron-withdrawing groups located at its different ends[48]. Whereas the value of electron affinity (2.77912 eV) in the excited state decreases may be due to the little charge distribution in the LUMOs orbitals of TCNQ molecule (see Fig. 5b). As a consequence, the excitation makes the TCNQ molecule becomes less acceptor.

Table 5 Theoretically computed energies (Hartree), zero-point vibrational energies (kcal mol−1), rotational constants (GHz), entropies (cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and dipole moment (D), E_{HOMO} (eV), E_{LUMO} (eV), IP (eV), EA (eV), μ (eV), η (eV) and ΔE (eV) for TCNQ molecule in its S₀ and S₁ states.

3.6. NBO analysis

Studying intra- and intermolecular bonding as well as bond interaction is made easier with the help of natural bond orbital analysis. Additionally, NBO offers a practical foundation for researching conjugative interaction or charge transfer in molecular systems. In the NBO study, the donor (i) level bonds to acceptor (i) level bonds interaction was assessed using the second order Fock matrix [49]. The estimation of the stabilization $gyE^{(2)}$ associated with the delocalization $i \rightarrow j$ for every donor (*i*) and acceptor (i) is:

$$
E^{(2)} = \Delta E_{ij} = q_i \frac{F(i,j)^2}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}(3)
$$

where q_i is the donor orbital occupancy, ε_i and ε_j are diagonal elements and $F(i, j)$ is the off diagonal NBO Fock matrix element.

The more intense the interaction between electron donors and acceptors, the higher the energy $E^{(2)}$ value, i.e. the greater the degree of conjugation of the entire system and the stronger the tendency of electron acceptors to take electrons [50]. Electron density delocalization between occupied Lewis type (bond or lone pair) NBO and formally empty (anti bond or rydgberg) non-Lewis NBO is a representation of the stabilizing donor-acceptor interaction. In this work, NBO analysis has been performed to elucidate the intra-molecular and delocalization of the electron density within the TCNQ molecule in its ground and excited states at the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) and CIS/6-31G(d) level, respectively. Because of the intra-molecular hyper conjugative interaction between σ (C1–C2) and σ^* (C1–C6), (C1-H7), (C2-C3), (C2-H8), (C3-C12) and (C6-C11), there is reduced stabilizationof ~2.0 kJ/mol. Strong delocalization of 18.73 kJ/mol results from this improved further coupled with the anti bonding orbital of π^* (C3-C12) and (C6-C11). When electrons are donated from the σ (C4–C5) bond to σ^* (C3-C4), (C3-C12), (C4-H9), (C5-C6), (C5-H10) and (C6-C11), less stabilization of \sim 2.0 kJ/mol is achieved. This type of interaction energy is determined in the same way and is associated with the resonance in the molecule. 18.73 kJ/mol is strongly delocalized in electron density as a result of this improved further conjugate with the anti bonding orbital of π^* (C3-C12) and (C6-C11). Therefore, the most important interactions in the ground state of TCNQ molecule having maximum energy transfer are found from bonding orbitals (C1-C2) to anti bonding one (C3-C12) and (C6-C11) and from (C4-C5) to anti bonding (C3-C12) and (C6-C11) having strong stabilization

energy 18.73 kJ/mol.This study included a detailed discussion of the energy delocalization from these molecular bonds to other regions, which are indicated in Table 6a (see appendix). Whereas in the excited state of this molecule, the stabilization energy of these donor bonds (C1-C2) and (C4-C5) increased from 18.73 to become 29.83 kJ/mol (see Table 6b (appendix)). The electron density (ED) of these donor bonds is slightly decrease from 1.98093 to 1.98002 e (see Tables 6a & 6b). The interaction between lone pairs (LP) N17, N18, N19 and N20 with anti bonding $(C11-C13)$, $(C11-C14)$, $(C12-C15)$ and $(C12-C16)$ resulting stabilization energy to increase from 12.68 kJ/mole in the ground state to 13.52 kJ/mol in the excited state of TCNQ molecule, which denotes larger delocalization. As a consequence, $E^{(2)}$ energies confirms the occurrence of intra-molecular charge transfer (ICT) within the molecule.

3.7. Frontier molecular orbitals analysis

Frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) are the most significant orbitals in a molecule. These orbitals are known as the highest occupied orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied orbital (LU-MO). The molecule's interactions with other species are dictated by these orbitals. The FMOs are crucial for the electrical and optical characteristics, chemical reactions, and UV-Vis spectra [51]. Characterizing the molecule's chemical reactivity and kinetic stability is aided by the frontier orbital gap. A molecule known as a "soft molecule" has a tiny frontier orbital gap, which makes it more polarizable and typically linked to high chemical reactivity and low kinetic stability [51]. Thus, the HOMO's energy directly correlated with ionization potential (IP), but LUMO 's energy is directlytied to the electron affinity (EA). In the present work, the calculated values of HOMOs and LUMOs for TCNQ molecule in its ground and its excited states are collected in Table 7. According to the calculations, there are 52 occupied molecular orbitals in the TCNQ molecule. An essential stability component for structures is the energy gap (ΔE), which is the difference in energy between the HOMO and LUMO orbitals [52].

As seen from Table 7, the energy gap of TCNQ molecule increases from 2.49313 to 7.50224 eV by electric field effect. Furthermore, Figure 5(a and b) displays three-dimensional (3D) plots of HOMOs and LUMOs of TCNQ molecule in its ground and excited states, respectively. On the one hand, when the TCNQ molecule in its ground state, the HOMO, HOMO-2, HO-MO-3, LUMO, LUMO+1 and LUMO+3 are concentrated on nearly the entire molecule, while the HOMO-1 and LUMO+2 are localized on the benzene ring (see Figure 5a). On the other hand, when the TCNQ molecule in its excited state, the HOMO and HOMO-1, are localized on C-C and C=C bonds, the HOMO-2, HOMO-3, LUMO+2 and LUMO+3 are localized on C=C and C-H bonds, while LUMO and LUMO+1 are localized on C=C and C≡N bonds.The fact that both the HOMOs and the LUMOs are primarily found on the rings suggests that the HOMO–LUMO orbitals are primarily of the π -anti bonding type [53].

Table 7 The calculated HOMOs (eV), LUMOs (eV) and energy gap (eV) of TCNQ molecule in its ground and excited states by DFT/B3LYP and ab initio CIS levels using 6-31G(d) basis set.

$\frac{1}{2}$ The metric of $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ only $\frac{1}{2}$					
States	Ground state	Excited state (eV)			
	(eV)				
$LUMO+3$	-1.12983	3.80255			
$LUMO+2$	-1.69692	3.80255			
$LUMO+1$	-1.74481	2.77912			
LUMO	-4.84339	2.77912			
HOMO	-7.33652	-11.30479			
$HOMO-1$	-8.99833	-11.30479			
$HOMO-2$	-9.44297	-11.37717			
$HOMO-3$	-10.14204	-11.37717			
$\Delta \bm{E}$	2.49313	7.50224			

Figure 5 The frontier molecular orbitals (HOMOs and LU-MOs); (a) ground state and (b) excited state of TCNQ molecule. The green and red colors represent the hole and electron, respectively.

3.8. UV-Visible analysis

The low-lying single excited states of the TCNQ molecule have been identified using ab initio CIS/6-31G(d) based on the completely optimized ground-state structure. Table 8 reports the results of the calculations for the vertical excitation energies (eV), absorption maxima (λ_{max}) (nm) and oscillator strength (f). The Frank-Condon principle typically states that the greatest absorption peakwhich are a function of the electron availability(λ_{max})- in a UV-Vis spectrum corresponds to vertical excitation.

The apparent absorption maxima of this molecule correlate to the electronic transition between frontier orbitals, such as translation from HOMO to LUMO, according to calculations of the molecular orbital geometry. As can be seen from Table 8, the calculation predicts three electronic transitions. For the gas phase, the computed absorption maximum values are 345.73, 277.65 and 215.16 nm .One electronic excitation from the highest occupied molecular orbital to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital primarily describes these electronic absorptions, which correspond to the transition from the ground to the first excited state. Table 8 also shows the oscillator strength and excitation energies. Figure 6 shows the UV-Vis spectrum of TCNQ molecule.

Figure 6 The calculated UV-Vis spectrum of TCNQ molecule by CIS/6-31G(d) method.

The bands at positions 215.16 and 277.65 nm, which have the shorter wavelength and higher energies, can be attributed to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ inter-molecular transition since the TCNQ molecule possesses $n \to \pi^*$ and $\pi \to \pi^*$ inter-molecular transitions (within TCNQ molecule), meanwhile the other band located at 345.73 nm, which has the higher wavelength and lower energy, can be assigned to $n \to \pi^*$ the intra-molecular transitions from the nitrogen atoms to the LUMO of the C=C (ring) π -bonds.

Table 8 The UV–Vis excitation energy (∆E)and oscillator strength (f) for TCNQ molecule calculated by ab initio CIS/6- 31G(d).

States	$\lambda_{\max}(nm)$	Excitation en- $\text{ergy}(\text{eV})$	F
S1	345.73	3.5862	2.1036
S2	277.65	4.4655	0.0000
83	215.16	5.7623	0.0000

4. Conclusion

In the current work , for the ground and excited states, respectively, the optimal molecular structures, vibrational frequencies and matching vibrational assignments, ${}^{1}H, {}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ NMR, natural atomic charge, thermodynamic parameters, NBO, frontier molecular orbitals and UV-Visible analysis of the TCNQ molecule have been computed using DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) and CIS/6-31G(d) methods for the ground and excited states, respectively. The ring geometry of the planar TCNQ molecule in S_1 is somewhat deformed from that of a perfect hexagon due to the excitation, according to the bond distance study for the title molecule. The NMR analysis shows that, the N atom's higher electronegativity feature causes it to polarize the electron distribution in its bond with the neighboring carbon atom, which lowers the electron density at the bridge of this named molecule. The DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) method is a good one for computations, as evidenced by a comparison of the title molecule's estimated vibrational frequencies with those found in the literature. The natural atomic charge study shows that, the TCNQ molecule become more acidic when it is in its ground state because the H9, H7, H10, H8, C3 and C6 atoms can accommodate higher positive charge. While, the excited state of TCNQ molecule causes the positive charge of C3 and C6 becomes less positive (+0.128e) charge. As a consequence of excitation, the TCNQ molecule becomes less acidic. The electron affinity of TCNQ molecule in the ground state is 4.84339 (eV) is high because TCNQ is a conjugated π –system that is flat, highly symmetrical, and contains four electron-withdrawing groups at different ends of the molecule. Because of the excitation effect, the NBO analysis reveals that the donor bonds' stabilization energy of the donor bonds (C1- C2) and (C4-C5) increased from 18.73 to become 29.83 kJ/mol. The fact that both the HOMOs and the LUMOs are primarily found on the rings suggests that the HOMO–LUMO orbitals are primarily of the π -anti bonding kind. The electronic absorption correlates with the transition from the ground state to the first excited state, according to the UV-Vis study. Furthermore, a sin-

gle electron excitation from the highest occupied molecular orbital to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital mostly describes this absorption.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declares that they have no conflicts of interest.

*How to Cite :***Huthaily S. Y.(2023).** FT-IR, NMR spectral analysis and theoretical NBO, FMOs, UV-Vis analysis of 7,7',8,8' tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) in its ground and excited states by DFT and CIS methods,*Abhath Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences,* 2(2), 1-14.

References

- [1] **J. Fraxedas (2006)** Molecular Organic Materials From Molecules to Crystalline Solids, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] **G. Horowitz (1998)**Adv. Mater., 10: 365-377.
- [3] **H.E.A. Huitema; G.H. Gelinck; J.B.P.H. van der Putten; K.E. Kuijk; K.M. Hart; E. Cantatore; D.M. de Leeuw (2002)** Adv. Mater., 14: 1201-1204.
- [4**] J.J.M. Halls; C.A. Walsh; N.C. Greenham; E.A. Marseglia; R.H. Friend; S.C. Moratti; A.B. Holmes(1995)** Nature, 376: 498-500.
- [5] **C.J. Brabec; N.S. Sariciftci; J.C. Hummelen (2001)** Adv. Funct. Mater., 11: 15-26.
- [6] **J.H. Schön; A. Dodabalapur; C. Kloc; B. Batlogg (2000**) Science, 290: 963-965.
- [7] **J.H. Schön; H. Meng; Z. Bao (2001)** Nature, 413: 713-716.
- [8] **X.D. Cui; A. Primak; X. Zarate; J. Tomfohr; O.F. Sankey; A.L. Moore; T.A. Moore; D. Gust; G. Harris; S.M. Lindsay (2001)** Science, 204: 571-574.
- [9] **R.M. Metzger (1999)**Acc. Chem. Res., 32: 950-957.
- [10] **M. Goes; J.W. Verhoeven; H. Hofstraat; K. Brunner (2003)**Chem.Phys. Chem., 4: 349–358.
- [11] **T.T. Wooster; M. Watanabe; R.W. Murray (1992)** J. Phys. Chem., 96: 5886-5893.
- [12] **K. Okamoto; M. Ozeki; A. Itaya; S. Kusabayashi; H. Mikawa (1975)** Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 48: 1362-1367.
- [13] **J.M. Pearson (1977)** Pure Appl. Chem., 49: 463–477.
- [14] **J. Guillet(1985)** In Polymer Photophysics and Photochemistry: An Introduction to the Study of Photoprocesses in Macromolecules; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K.
- [15] **S. Sugunan; C.G. Ramankutty(1995)** J. Chemical and Engineering Data, 40(2): 417–418.
- [16] **A.D. Becke(1993)** J. Chem. Phys., 98: 5648–5652.
- [17] **C. Lee; W. Yang; R.G. Parr** (1988) Phys. Rev., B37: 785– 789.
- [18] **J.P.Perdew; Y. Wang; (1986)** Phys. Rev., B33: 8800–8802.
- [19] **A. Frisch; A.B. Nielsen; A.J. Holder (2000)**GaussviewUsers Manual, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburg, PA, 2000.
- [20] **J.B. Foresman; M. Head-Gordon; J.A. Pople; M.J. Frisch (1992)**J. Phys. Chem., 96: 135-149.
- [21**] G. Orlandi; P. Palmieri; R. Tarroni; F. Zerbetto; M.Z. Zgierski(1994)**J. Chem. Phys., 100: 2458-2464.
- [22] **M.J. Frisch; G.W. Trucks; H.B. Schlegel; G.E. Scuseria; M.A. Robb; J.R. Cheeseman; J.A. Montgomery; Jr. T. Vreven; K.N. Kudin; J.C. Burant; J.M. Millam; S.S. Iyengar; J. Tomasi; V. Barone; B. Mennucci; M. Cossi;G. Scalmani; N. Rega; G.A. Petersson; H. Nakatsuji;**

M. Hada; M. Ehara; K. Toyota; R. Fukuda; J. Hasegawa; M. Ishida; T. Nakajima; Y. Honda; O. Kitao; H. Nakai; M. Klene; X. Li; J.E. Knox; H.P. Hratchian; J.B. Cross; C. Adamo; J. Jaramillo; R. Gomperts; R.E. Stratmann; O. Yazyev; A.J. Austin; R. Cammi; C. Pomelli; J.W. Ochterski; P.Y. Ayala; K. Morokuma; G.A. Voth; P. Salvador; J.J. Dannenberg; V.G. Zakrzewski; S. Dapprich; A.D. Daniels; M.C. Strain; O. Farkas; D.K. Malick; A.D. Rabuck; K. Raghavachari; J.B. Foresman; J.V. Ortiz; Q. Cui; A.G. Baboul; S. Clifford; J. Cioslowski; B.B. Stefanov; G. Liu; A. Liashenko; P. Piskorz; I. Komaromi; R.L. Martin; D.J. Fox; T. Keith; M.A. Al-Laham; C.Y. Peng; A. Nanayakkara; M. Challacombe; P.M. W. Gill; B. Johnson; W. Chen; M.W. Wong; C. Gonzalez; J.A. Pople; Gaussian 03, Revision E.01 (2004), Inc., Wallingford, CT.

- [23] **E.D. Glendening; A.E. Reed; J.E. Carpenter; F. Weinhold,NBO Version 3.1 TCI(1998),** University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- [24] **R. E. Long; R. A. Sparks; K. N. Trueblood(1965)** Acta Cryst., 18: 932-939.
- [25] **D.V. Ziolkovskiy; A.V. Kravchenko; V.A. Starodub; O.N. Kazheva; A.V. Khotkevich(2005)**Func. Mate., 12(3):577- 582.
- [26**] M.M. El-Nahass; M.A. Kamel; A.F. El-deeb; A.A. Atta; S.Y. Huthaily (2011)**Spectrochimica Acta A, 79: 443–450.
- [27] **S. Ayyappan; N. Sundaraganesan; V. Aroulmoji; E. Murano; S. Sebastian (2010)**Spectrochim. Acta A, 77: 264–275.
- [28] **K. Wolinski; J.F. Hinton; P. Pulay(1990)** J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112: 8251–8260.
- [29] **R. Ditchfield (1972)** Molecular Orbital Theory of Magnetic Shielding and Magnetic Susceptibility, 56: 5688-5691.
- [30] **N. Subramanian; N. Sundaraganesan; J. Jayabharathi (2010)**Spectrochim. Acta A, 76: 259–269.
- [31] **N. Sundaraganesana; E. Kavithaa, S. Sebastiana; J.P. Cornardb; M. Martel (2009)**Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 74: 788–797.
- [32] **G. Varsanyi (1974)** Assignments of Vibrational Spectra of Seven Hundred Benzene Derivatives, Wiley, New York.
- [33] **G. Varsanyi; S. Szoke (1969)**Vibrational Spectra of Benzene Derivatives, Academic Press, New York.
- [34] **J.B. Lambert; H.F. Shurvell; L. Verbit; R.G. Cooks, G.H. Stout (1976)** Organic Structural Analysis, Macmillan Publ. Co. Inc., New York.
- [35] **N. Sundaraganesan; S. Kalaichelvan; C. Meganathan; B.D. Jashua (2008)**Spectrochim. Acta A, 71: 898–906.
- [36] **M. Silverstein; G.C. Basseler; C. Morill (1981)** Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York.
- [37] **N. M. Aghatabay; M. Tulu; M. Somer; D. Haciu; A. Yilmaz (2008)** Struct. Chem., 19: 21–32.
- [38] **L.J. Bellamy (1959)** The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules, John Wiley, New York.
- [39] **N. Sundaraganesana; C. Meganathana; B. Dominic Joshuaa; P. Mani; A. Jayaprakash (2008)**Spectrochim. Acta A, 71: 1134–1139.
- [40] **N.P.G. Roeges (1994)** A Guide to the Complete Interpretation of Infrared Spectra of Organic Structures, Wiley, New York.
- [41] **J.R. Durig; J.F. Sullivan; G.M. Attia (1986)**Spectrochim. Acta, 42 (2): 75-88.
- [42] **J.R. Durig; G.A. Guirgis; K.A. Krutules; h. phan, H.D. Stidham (1994)** J. Raman Spectrosc., 25: 221-232.
- [43] **L.X. Hong; Z.X. Zhou (2010)** J. Mol. Struct. (THE-OCHEM),957: 15–20.
- [44] **N.S. Allen (2010)**Photochemistry and Photophysics of Polymer Materials, Wiley, New York.
- [45] **M.A. Palafox (2000)** Int. J. Quantum Chem., 77: 661-684.
- [46] **J. M. Seminario (2007)** Molecular and Nano Electronics:Analysis, Design and Simulation, Elsevier, UK.
- [47] **R. Kanakaraju; P. Kolandaivel (2003)** J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM), 623: 39–49.
- [48] **C. Clean (2002)** Synthesis of Heterocyclic Analogues of Benzo-TCNQ, Ph. D. Thesis.
- [49] **M. Szafran; A. Komasa; E.B. Adamska (2007)**J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM), 827: 101-107.
- [50] **S. Sebastian; N. Sundaragnesan (2010)**Spectrochim. Acta A, 75: 941-952.
- [51] **I. Fleming** (1976) Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [52] **K. Fukui (1975)** Theory of Orientation and Stereoselection, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [53] **N. Ӧzdemir; M. Dinçer; İ. Koca; O. Büyükgüngör(2009)** J. Mol. Model., 15: 1193–1201.

Appendix

Table 3 Theoretical assignments of the vibrational modes of TCNQ molecule in its ground state.

ʋ: symmetric stretching; ʋas: asymmetric stretching; β: in-plane-bending; γ: out-of-plane bending; s: scissoring; ω: wagging; ρ: rocking; t: twisting.

Donor (i)	Type	ED/e	Acceptor(j)	Type	ED/e	$E^{(2)}$	$E(j)$ – $E(i)$	F(i, j)
						$(kJmol-1)$	(a.u.)	(a.u.)
			$C1-C6$		0.02412	2.73	1.22	0.052
			$C1-H7$		0.01291	1.87	1.21	0.043
$C1-C2$ σ		1.98093	$C2-C3$	σ^*	0.02412	2.73	1.22	0.052
			$C2-H8$		0.01291	1.87	1.21	0.043
			$C3-C12$		0.03040	2.46	1.30	0.051
			$C6-C11$		0.03040	2.46	1.30	0.051
$C1-C2$	π	1.79211	$C3-C12$	π^*	0.31639	18.73	0.28	0.067
			$C6-C11$		0.31639	18.73	0.28	0.067
			$C1 - C2$		0.01218	2.65	1.31	0.053
			$C1 - H7$		0.01291	0.90	1.16	0.029
$C1-C6$			$C2 - H8$		0.01291	2.41	1.16	0.047
	σ	1.97267	$C5 - C6$	σ^*	0.02412	2.18	1.17	0.045
			$C5 - H10$		0.01291	1.74	1.16	0.040
			C6-C11		0.03040	3.60	1.25	0.060
			$C11 - C14$		0.03449	3.19	1.20	0.055
			$C1-C2$		0.01218	1.88	1.15	0.042
$C1-H7$	σ	1.97846	$C1-C6$	σ^*	0.02412	0.61	1.01	0.022
			$C2-C3$		0.02412	4.77	1.01	0.062
			$C5-C6$ $C1-C2$		0.02412	3.61	1.01	0.054 0.053
			$C1-H7$		0.01218	2.65 2.41	1.31 1.16	0.047
			$C2-H8$		0.01291 0.01291	0.90	1.16	0.029
$C2-C3$		1.97267	$C3-C4$		0.02412	2.18	1.17	0.045
	σ		$C3-C12$	σ^*	0.03040	3.60	1.25	0.060
			$C4-H9$		0.01291	1.74	1.16	0.040
			$C12-C15$		0.03448	3.19	1.20	0.055
			$C1-C2$		0.01218	1.88	1.15	0.042
			$C1-C6$		0.02412	4.77	1.01	0.062
$C2 - H8$	σ	1.97846	$C2-C3$	σ^*	0.02412	0.61	1.01	0.022
			$C3-C4$		0.02412	3.61	1.01	0.054
			$C2-C3$		0.02412	2.18	1.17	0.045
			$C2-H8$		0.01291	1.74	1.16	0.040
			$C3-C12$		0.03040	3.60	1.25	0.060
$C3-C4$	σ	1.97267	$C4-C5$	σ^*	0.01218	2.65	1.31	0.053
			$C4-H9$		0.01291	0.90	1.16	0.029
			C5-H10		0.01291	2.41	1.16	0.047
			$C12-C16$		0.03449	3.19	1.20	0.055
			$C1-C2$		0.01218	1.16	1.36	0.036
	σ	1.96536	$C2-C3$	σ^*	0.02412	2.98	1.22	0.054
			$C3-C4$		0.02412	2.98	1.22	0.054
			$C4-C5$		0.01218	1.16	1.36	0.036
			$C12-C15$		0.03448	4.02	1.26	0.064
$C3-C12$			$C12-C16$		0.03449	4.02	1.26	0.064
			C15-N19		0.00964	4.37	1.58	0.075
			C15-N19	π^*	0.01628	0.98	0.83	0.026
			$C16-N20$	σ^*	0.00964	4.37	1.58	0.075
			$C16-N20$	π^*	0.01628	0.98	0.83	0.026
			$C1-C2$		0.13238	12.84	0.31	0.059
$C3-C12$	π σ	1.70619 1.98093	$C4-C5$	π^* σ^*	0.13238	12.84	0.31	0.059
			$C15-N19$		0.08576	18.61	0.37	0.078
			$C16-N20$		0.08575	18.61	0.37	0.078
			$C3-C4$		0.02412	2.73	1.22	0.052
			$C3-C12$		0.03040	2.46	1.30	0.051
$C4-C5$			$C4-H9$		0.01291	1.87	1.21	0.043
			$C5-C6$		0.02412	2.73	1.22	0.052
			$C5-H10$		0.01291	1.87	1.21	0.043
			$C6-C11$		0.03040	2.46	1.30	0.051

Table 6a Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis for TCNQ in its ground state.

Table 6b Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis for TCNQ in its excited state

