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Introduction of the Issue

We are pleased and delighted to present the researchers with this issue of the 'Abhath' Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, which is the first issue of the first volume, the issuance of which emanates as an affirmation of moving forward towards issuing specialized quality journals.

The Faculty of Education at Hodeidah University aims, by issuing this journal, to publish specialized researches in basic and applied sciences, from inside and outside Yemen, in the English language.

On this occasion, the journal invites male and female researchers to submit their researches for publication in the next issues of the journal.

In conclusion, the editorial board of the journal extends its thanks and gratitude to Prof. Mohammed Al-Ahdal – Rector of the university – the general supervisor of the journal, for his support and encouragement for the establishment of this journal. Furthermore, thanks are extended to Prof. Mohammed Bulghaith – University Vice-Rector for Higher Studies and Scientific Research – vice-supervisor of the journal, for his cooperation in facilitating the procedures for the issuance of this issue. Nevertheless, thanks are for all researchers whose scientific articles were published in this issue, and for the editorial board of the journal, which worked tirelessly to produce this issue in this honorable way.
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Abstract. In this paper we slightly alter Atanassov’s definition of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (which are equivalent to interval valued fuzzy sets [11] ) and we discuss some interesting new properties of intuitionistic fuzzy topology. The relation between IFTSs and the induced fuzzy bitopological spaces are studied. We also give the definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy regularity and separation axioms and give some its characterizations. We introduce new concept of good extension property in intuitionistic setting. Finally, we investigate some relations between separation axioms on IFTS and that of induced fuzzy topological spaces (FTSs for short) and vice versa.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries.
After the introduction of concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [18], many authors generalized the idea of fuzzy sets in different directions [1, 12, 16, 17]. Atanassov [1] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as generalization of fuzzy sets. Later this concept was generalized to other aspects [2, 3, 4, 6 ]. Subsequently, Coker [6] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topology and studied some of its properties [7-10]. Nevertheless, separation axioms in IFTSs are not studied. Only Coker and Bayhan [5] introduced several definitions of T₁ and T₂ and investigated the relation between them.
In this paper we introduce new concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy separation axioms, intuitionistic fuzzy regularity axioms in IFTS based on IFSs (in our sense). The relationship between our concepts and Coker-Bayhan concepts of T₁ and T₂ takes place in another article, because the definition of intuitionistic fuzzy point in both concepts is different.
In section 1, we will give a modification of IFS [1] investigating some new properties. In section 2, we recall the definition of IFT. We introduce new concepts, the intuitionistic product, we generate many fuzzy topologies from a given IFTS and vice versa, and we define some operators on it. In section 3, we introduce the definitions of IF-separation axioms, IF-regularity axioms, we give some implications on it. The concept of the good extension property will be given and studied. In section 4, we investigate some relations between separation axioms of IFTS and that of induced FTSs, some necessary counterexamples will be given. Finally, in section 5, some relations between separation axioms of fuzzy topology and that of induced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (IFTSs) will be investigated, with some necessary counterexamples.

Atanassov [1] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets

**Definition 1.1** [1] Let $X$ be a nonempty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A$ (IFS for short) is an object having the form:

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\},$$

where the functions $\mu_A : X \to I$ and $\gamma_A : X \to I$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of nonmembership (namely $\gamma_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set $A$, respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1 \ \forall \ x \in X$. Where $I$ denotes unit interval $[0,1]$. The family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets on $X$, will be denoted by $I(X)$.

From the above definition it is clear that an intuitionistic fuzzy sets may be regarded as a pair $(A_1, A_2) \in I^X \times I^X$ such that $A_1 \subseteq A_2^C$, where $A_2^C$ is the complement of $A_2$.

Accordingly, we can alter the Atanassov’s definition of intuitionistic fuzzy set slightly to the following more convenient definition:

**Definition 1.2**

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A$ (IFS for short) is an ordered pair

$$A = (A_1, A_2) \in I^X \times I^X$$

such that $A_1 \subseteq A_2$. Where $I^X$ is the family of all fuzzy sets on a given nonempty set $X$. The family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets on $X$ in this form, will be denoted by $II^X$, i.e. $II^X = \{(A_1, A_2) : A_1, A_2 \in I^X \ and \ A_1 \subseteq A_2\}$. The IFS $X = (X, X)$ is called universal IFS and the IFS $\emptyset = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is called the empty IFS.

Any fuzzy set $A$ on $X$ is obviously an IFS in the form $A = (A, A)$.

**Definition 1.3** Let $A = (A_1, A_2)$, $B = (B_1, B_2) \in II^X$. Then:
1) \( A = B \iff A_i = B_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \),

2) \( A \subseteq B \iff A_i \subseteq B_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \),

3) \( A \cup B = (A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cup B_2) \),

4) \( A \cap B = (A_1 \cap B_1, A_2 \cap B_2) \),

5) \( A^c = (A_2^c, A_1^c) \), where \( A^c \) is the complement of \( A \).

**Remark 1.4** By the canonical mapping from \( (I(X), \cup, \cap, C) \) onto \( (II^X, \cup, \cap, C) \) assigns for all \( A \in I(X) \) the set \( (A_i, A_i^c) \in II^X \), we note that all results which are based on Atanassov’s intuitionistic fuzzy sets still true in our setting.

**Definition 1.5**
Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two nonempty sets and \( f: X \to Y \) be a function,

i) If \( A = (A_1, A_2) \) is an IFS in \( X \). Then the image of \( A \) under \( f \), denoted by \( f(A) \) is the IFS in \( Y \), defined by, \( f(A) = (f(A_1), f(A_2)) \), where

\[
f(A_i)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} A_i(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall \ y \in Y, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

ii) If \( B = (B_1, B_2) \) is an IFS in \( Y \), then the preimage of \( B \) under \( f \) is the IFS in \( X \) defined by \( f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(B_1), f^{-1}(B_2)) \).

Where \( f^{-1}(B_i)(x) = B_i(f(x)) \forall x \in X, \quad i = 1, 2 \).

Now the intuitionistic fuzzy point introduced by Coker and Demirci in [8] can be modified into the following definition:

**Definition 1.6**
Let \( X \) be a nonempty set and let \( x \in X \) be a fixed element, \( \alpha, \beta \in I = [0,1] \) such that \( \alpha \leq \beta, \beta > 0 \). An intuitionistic fuzzy set, \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} = (x_\alpha, x_\beta) \in II^X \) is called intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP for short) i.e. the IFP \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \) is an ordered pair of two fuzzy points \( x_\alpha, x_\beta \) where \( x_\alpha \leq x_\beta \). The set of all IFPs in \( X \) will be denoted by \( X_{IP} \).

An IFP \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \) is said to be belongs to an IFS \( A = (A_1, A_2) \) on \( X \), and is denoted by \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A \), iff \( x_\alpha \in A_1 \) and \( x_\beta \in A_2 \). The set of all fuzzy points will be denoted by \( X_f \).
Definition 1.7 [13]
Let $A, B \in I^X$. Then $A$ is quasi-coincident with $B$, iff there exists $x \in X$ such that $A(x) + B(x) \geq 1$. If $A$ is not quasi-coincident with $B$, then we write $A q/ B$, i.e. $A q/ B$ iff $A(x) + B(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.8
Let $A, B \in I^X$. Then $A$ and $B$ are said to be quasi-coincident and it is denoted by $A q B$, iff $A_q B_i$ or $A_q B_i$. If $A$ is not quasi-coincident with $B$, then we denote this by $A q B$ i.e. $A_q B \iff A_q B_2$ and $A_2 q B_1$.

Theorem 1.9
Let $A, B, C \in I^X$, $D, F \in I^Y$, $f : X \to Y$ be a function and \{ $A_i : i \in J$ \} $\subseteq I^X$, where $A_i = (A_{i1}, A_{i2})$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ $\in X_{IP}$. Then:

1) $A q/ B \iff A \subseteq B^C$,
2) $A \cap B = \phi \Rightarrow A q/ B$,
3) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q/ A \iff x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A^C$,
4) $A q/ A^C$,
5) $A q/ B, C \subseteq B \Rightarrow A q/ C$,
6) $A \subseteq B \iff (x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q A \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q B$ for all $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in $X$),
7) $A q B \iff x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q B$, for some $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$,
8) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q ( \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i ) \iff \exists i \in J$ such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q A_i$,
9) If $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q ( \bigcap_{i \in J} A_i )$, then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q A_i$ for all $i \in J$,
10) $x \neq y$ implies $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in I$.
11) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \iff x \neq y$ or $x = y$ and $\alpha + \lambda \leq 1 \wedge \beta + \gamma \leq 1$.

2. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological spaces.

Definition 2.1 [6]
An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT, for short) on a nonempty set $X$, is a family $\eta$ of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs for short) in $X$ that satisfies the following axioms:

1) $\phi, X \in \eta$,
2) if $A, B \in \eta$, then $A \cap B \in \eta$, 
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T3) if \( \{ A_j : i \in J \} \subseteq \eta \), then \( \bigcup_{i \in J} A_j \in \eta \).

The pair \((X, \eta)\) is called intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS for short). Every element of \( \eta \) is called intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS for short) in \( X \). The complement \( A^c \) of an IFOS \( A \) in an IFTS \((X, \eta)\) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS, for short) in \( X \). The set of all IFCSs is denoted by \( \eta^c \).

**Definition 2.2**

If \((X, \eta)\) is an IFTS. An IFS \( N \) of \( X \) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood (IFN for short) of an IFP \((x, \beta)\) in IFTS \((X, \eta)\) iff there exists IFS \( O_{x(\alpha, \beta)} \in \eta \) such that, \( x(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq O_{x(\alpha, \beta)} \subseteq N \). It is clear that \( O_{x(\alpha, \beta)} \) is an IFO-neighborhood (IFON for short) of \( x(\alpha, \beta) \). The family of all IF-neighborhoods of the IFP \((x, \beta)\) will be denoted by \( N((x, \beta)) \).

**Definition 2.3** [6]

Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS and \( A = (A_1, A_2) \) be an IFS in \( X \). Then the fuzzy interior and fuzzy closure of \( A \) will be denoted by \( A^* \), \( \overline{A} \) respectively, and are defined by:

\[
A^* = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},
\]
\[
\overline{A} = \bigcap \{ K : K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}.
\]

**Proposition 2.4** [6]

For any IFS \( A \) in \((X, \eta)\) we have: i) \( A^c = (A^*)^c \) and ii) \( (A^c)^* = (A)^c \).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS, \( A, B \in \Pi^X \). Then:

1) \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A^* \iff \exists O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \in N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \) such that \( O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq A \),
2) \( x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \cap A \iff O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \cap A \forall O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \in N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \),
3) \( V \cap A \iff V \cap \overline{A} \forall V \in \eta \).

Proof. Obvious.

**Theorem 2.6.** Every IFTS \((X, \eta)\) generates a fuzzy bitopological space \((X, \Pi_1, \Pi_2)\), where \( \Pi_1 = \{ A_1 : A \in \eta \} \) and \( \Pi_2 = \{ A_2 : A \in \eta \} \).

**Theorem 2.7**

Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS on \( X \). Then the following collections are fuzzy topologies on \( X \),

i) \( \Pi_3 = \{ A_1 : (A, X) \in \eta \} \cup \{ \phi \} \)
ii) \( \Pi_4 = \{ A_2 : (\phi, A_2 ) \in \eta \} \cup \{ X \} \)

iii) \( \Pi_\Delta = \{ A : (A, A) \in \eta \}. Moreover, \( \Pi_3 \subseteq \Pi_1, \Pi_4 \subseteq \Pi_2 \) and 
\( \Pi_\Delta \subseteq \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 \).
Proof: Straightforward.

Now we shall introduce a new concept which is the intuitionistic product of two families of fuzzy sets as follows:

**Definition 2.8**
Let \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \subseteq I^X \). The intuitionistic product of \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \) is denoted by \( \eta_1 \hat{*} \eta_2 \) and it is defined by 
\( \eta_1 \hat{*} \eta_2 = \{ (A_1, A_2) : A_1 \in \eta_1, A_2 \in \eta_2 \ and \ A_1 \subseteq A_2 \} \).

**Definition 2.9**
An IFTS \( (X, \eta) \) is called IBTF-topological space iff, \( \eta = \Pi_1 \hat{*} \Pi_2 \).

**Theorem 2.10**
Let \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Then \((X, \tau_1 \hat{*} \tau_2)\) is an IBTF-topological space for which 
\( \tau_1 \hat{*} \tau_2 = \{ (A_1, A_2) : A_1 \in \tau_1, A_2 \in \tau_2 \ and \ A_1 \subseteq A_2 \} \).

**Proof:** Straightforward.

**Example 2.11**
Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space, \(\underline{A} = (A_1, A_2) \in II^X\). Then the following families are IBTF-topologies on \(X\) generated by \(\tau\):
1) \( \eta_1 = \tau \hat{*} I^X = \{ (A_1, A_2) : A_1 \in \tau \} \)
2) \( \eta_2 = I^X \hat{*} \tau = \{ (A_1, A_2) : A_2 \in \tau \} \)
3) \( \eta_3 = \tau \hat{*} i(X) = \{ (A_1, X) : A_1 \in \tau \} \cup \{ \phi \} \), where \(i(X) = (X, \{ 0, 1 \})\) is the indiscrete fuzzy topology on \(X\).
4) \( \eta_4 = i(X) \hat{*} \tau = \{ (\phi, A_2) : A_2 \in \tau \} \cup \{ X \} \)
5) \( \eta = \tau \hat{*} \tau = \{ (A_1, A_2) : A_1, A_2 \in \tau \} \).

**Definition 2.12**
Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then the IFTS \((X, \tau \hat{*} \tau)\) is called ITF-topological space induced by \(\tau\).

**Theorem 2.13**
Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space and let, \( i_\tau : II^X \rightarrow II^X \) be an operator defined by 
\( i_\tau(A) = (A^+, A^+) \ \forall A \in II^X \). Then \( i_\tau \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy interior operator that generates the ITF-topology \( \tau \hat{*} \tau \).

**Proof.** It is clear.
**Corollary 2.14** Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space, and let \(A \in \mathcal{II}^X\). Then the map \(C_r : \mathcal{II}^X \to \mathcal{II}^X\) defined by \(C_r(A) = (\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2)\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator that generates the ITF-topology \(\tau \hat{x} \tau\).

**Theorem 2.15**

Let \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) be a fuzzy bitopological space, \(A \in \mathcal{II}^X\). Then the map \(C : \mathcal{II}^X \rightarrow \mathcal{II}^X\) defined by, \(C(A) = (\bar{A}^{-1}_1, \bar{A}^{-1}_2 \cup A)\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator which generates the IBTF-topology on \(X\), where \(\bar{A}^i\) is the \(\tau_i\)-closure of fuzzy set \(A\), \(i = 1,2\).

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Corollary 2.16**

Let \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Then the map \(i : \mathcal{II}^X \to \mathcal{II}^X\), given by \(i(A) = (A_1 \cap A_2^{-1})^{\vee}, A_2^{-1})\) \(\forall A \in \mathcal{II}^X\), is an intuitionistic fuzzy interior operator which generates the IBTF-topology \(\tau_1 \hat{x} \tau_2\) on \(X\), where \(A^i\) is the \(\tau_i\)-interior of fuzzy set \(A\), \(i = 1,2\).

**Definition 2.17** [15]

Let \((X, \eta), (Y, \eta^*)\) be IFTSs. Then a map \(f : X \to Y\) is said to be

1) An IF-continuous if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFOS of \(X\) for all IFOS \(B\) of \(Y\),
   - or equivalently, \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFCS of \(X\) for each IFCS \(B\) of \(Y\),
2) An IF-open function if \(f(A)\) is an IFOS of \(Y\) for each IFOS \(A\) of \(X\),
3) An IF-closed function if \(f(A)\) is an IFCS of \(Y\) for each IFCS \(A\) of \(X\),
4) An IF-homeomorphism if \(f\) is bijective and \(f^{-1}\) are IF-continuous.

**Definition 2.18** [15]

Let \(f : (X, \eta) \to (Y, \eta^*)\) be a map. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) \(f\) is an IF-continuous map.

ii) \(\forall x_{(a, \beta)} \in X_{IP}, \forall \text{IFN } O_{f(x_{(a, \beta)})}\) of \(f(x_{(a, \beta)})\) there is an IFN \(O_{x_{(a, \beta)}}\) of \(x_{(a, \beta)}\) such that \(f(O_{x_{(a, \beta)}}) \subseteq O_{f(x_{(a, \beta)})}\).

**Theorem 2.19** [15]

Let \(f : (X, \eta) \to (Y, \eta^*)\) be a bijection. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) \(f\) is an IF-homeomorphism.

ii) \(f\) is an IF-continuous and IF-closed.

iii) \(f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(\overline{B})\) \(\forall \text{IFS } B\) of \(Y\).
Theorem 2.20
Let \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \) be an IF-continuous function. Then the function:
\( f : (X, \Pi_i) \rightarrow (Y, \Pi_i^*) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) are fuzzy continuous functions,
where \( \Pi_1, \Pi_2 \) are fuzzy topologies defined as in theorem (2.6).

Proof.
Let \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \) be an IF-continuous function and let \( B_1 \in \Pi_1^* \).
Then there exists \( B_2 \in \Pi_2^* \) such that \( (B_1, B_2) \in \eta^* \) and hence,
\[ f^{-1}(B_1, B_2) = (f^{-1}(B_1), f^{-1}(B_2)) \in \eta, \]
consequently, \( f^{-1}(B_1) \in \Pi_1 \), which implies that \( f : (X, \Pi_1) \rightarrow (Y, \Pi_1^*) \) is a fuzzy continuous.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true in general.

Example 2.21 Let \((X, \tau)\) be any fuzzy topological space. Then \( id : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau) \) is a fuzzy continuous. But \( id : (X, \eta_\Delta) \rightarrow (X, \tau \times \tau) \), is not IF-continuous. Where \( \eta_\Delta = \{ (A, A) : A \in \tau \} \).

Theorem 2.22 Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IBTF-topological space and \((Y, \eta^*)\) be any IFTS. Then \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \) is an IF-continuous function
iff \( f : (X, \Pi_i) \rightarrow (Y, \Pi_i^*) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) are fuzzy continuous functions.

Proof. It is clear.


Definition 3.1
An intuitionistic fuzzy topological space \((X, \eta)\) is said to be:
1) IF-\( T_\alpha \) iff \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) implies \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( \overline{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) or \( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) q’\( \overline{x}_{(a, \beta)} \).
2) IF-T_1 iff \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) implies \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( \overline{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) and \( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) q’\( \overline{x}_{(a, \beta)} \).
3) IF-T_2 iff \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) implies there exists \( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \), \( O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \)
such that \( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \) q’\( O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \).

Now we list some characterizations of IF-separation axioms.

Theorem 3.2
Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS. Then \((X, \eta)\) is IF-\( T_0 \) iff \[ x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’ \( , \text{ there exists} \]
\( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \) such that \( y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) q’\( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \) or there exists \( O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \) such that \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) q’\( O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \) \( \forall x_{(a, \beta)}, \ y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in X_{IP} \).

Proof. Follows from (2) of theorem (2.5) and (5) of theorem (1.9).
Theorem 3.3 Let $(X, \eta)$ be an IFTS. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) $(X, \eta) \in IF-T_1$

ii) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ implies that there exists $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ such that $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ and there exists $O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$ such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$.

iii) $\bar{x}_{(\alpha, \beta)} = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \forall x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) is obvious.

i) $\Rightarrow$ iii) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$. Then by (ii) there exists $O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$ such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$, this implies $O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \subseteq x_{(\alpha, \beta)}^C$, thus $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is open for every $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$. i.e., $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is closed for every $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$. Hence $\bar{x}_{(\alpha, \beta)} = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let $\bar{x}_{(\alpha, \beta)} = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \forall x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ are closed IFPs. Since $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}^C = O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' x_{(\alpha, \beta)}^C = O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$, then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' \bar{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ and $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' \bar{x}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

Hence $(X, \eta)$ is IF- $T_1$.

Theorem 3.4 If $(X, \eta)$ is IF-T$_2$ then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \bigcap_{O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} = N_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \overline{O}_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \forall x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$.

Proof. Let $(X, \eta)$ be an IF-T$_2$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{IP}$. Then for any $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ there exists $O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \in N_{(y_{(\gamma, \lambda)})}$, $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \in N_{(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})}$ such that $O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} q' O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$.

$O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \Rightarrow y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' \overline{O}_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \Rightarrow y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' \bigcap_{O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} = N_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \overline{O}_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ (by (6) of theorem (1.9)). On other hand it is clearly that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \subseteq \bigcap_{O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} = N_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \overline{O}_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$, and so $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \bigcap_{O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} = N_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \overline{O}_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$.

Definition 3.5 An IF-topological space $(X, \eta)$ is said to be:

1) IF-$R_s$ iff $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' \bar{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ implies $y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} q' \bar{x}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

2) IF-$R_1$ iff $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' \bar{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ implies there exists $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}, O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$ such that $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} q' O_{y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}$.

3) IF-$R_2$ iff $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' \bar{E}$, $E \in \eta^C$ implies there exists $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}, O_{\bar{E}}$ such that $O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} q' O_{\bar{E}}$.

4) IF-$R_3$ iff $E_1 q' \bar{E}_2$, $E_1, E_2 \in \eta^C$ implies there exists $O_{\bar{E}_1}, O_{\bar{E}_2}$ such that $O_{\bar{E}_1} q' O_{\bar{E}_2}$.
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5) IF-T₃ iff it is IF-R₂ and IF-T₁.
6) IF-T₄ iff it is IF-R₃ and IF-T₁.

Now we introduce the following reformulation of IF-Rᵢ axioms, (i = 0, 1, 2, 3) and give some implications on it.

**Theorem 3.6**
Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS, \(x_{(a,\beta)} \in X_{IP}\). Then the following statements are equivalent:
1) \((X, \eta)\) is an IF-\(R_s\).
2) \(\bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \subseteq O_{s(a,\beta)} \quad \forall O_{s(a,\beta)} \in N(x_{(a,\beta)})\).
3) \(\bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \subseteq \bigcap \{ O_{s(a,\beta)} : O_{s(a,\beta)} \in N(x_{(a,\beta)}) \}\).
4) \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta F, \ F \in \eta^C\) implies there exists \(O_{F}\) such that \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta O_{F}\).
5) \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta F, \ F \in \eta^C\) implies \(\bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \eta F\).
6) \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta \bar{y}_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\) implies \(\bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \eta \bar{y}_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\)\(^{(1)}\).

Proof. 1) \(\Rightarrow 2)\) Let \(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \eta \bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \Rightarrow x_{(a,\beta)} \eta \bar{y}_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\). By (2) of theorem (2.5), we have \(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \eta O_{s(a,\beta)} \), \(\forall O_{s(a,\beta)} \Rightarrow \bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \subseteq O_{s(a,\beta)} \forall O_{s(a,\beta)}\) (by (6) of theorem 1.9).
2) \(\Rightarrow 3)\) is obvious.
3) \(\Rightarrow 4)\) Let \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta F, \ F \in \eta^C \Rightarrow x_{(a,\beta)} \in F^C\)\(^{(2)}\).
\(\bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \subseteq F^C \Rightarrow F \subseteq \bar{x}^C_{(a,\beta)}=O_{F}\), and hence \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta \bar{x}^C_{(a,\beta)} = O_{F}\).
4) \(\Rightarrow 5)\) Let \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta F, \ F \in \eta^C \Rightarrow\) there exists \(O_{F}\), such that \(x_{(a,\beta)} \eta O_{F}\Rightarrow x_{(a,\beta)} \in O_{F}^C \Rightarrow \bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \subseteq O_{F}^C \Rightarrow \bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \eta O_{F} \Rightarrow \bar{x}_{(a,\beta)} \eta F\).
5) \(\Rightarrow 6)\) and \(6) \Rightarrow 1)\) are obvious.

**Theorem 3.7** The following implications hold:
IF-R₃ \& IF-R₀ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-R₂ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-R₁ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-R₀.
Proof. It is clear.

**Theorem 3.8** The following implications hold:
1) IF-T₄ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-T₃ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-T₂ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-T₁ \(\Rightarrow\) IF-T₀.
2) IF-R₂ \& IF-T₀ \(\Rightarrow\) \((X, \eta)\) is IF-T₃.
Proof. It is clear
Theorem 3.9  Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS. Then \((X, \eta)\) is IF-R_1 iff \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q'\) 
\(\bar{y}_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\) implies there exist \(O_{(\alpha, \beta)}\) and \(O_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\) such that \(O_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' O_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\).
Proof. Follows from the last implication of theorem (3.7) and from (2) of theorem(3.6).

Theorem 3.10
Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS. Then \((X, \eta)\) is IF-R_2 iff \(\forall x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{FP}\),
\(\forall O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})\) there exists \(O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}\) such that \(O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}\).
Proof. Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF-R_2, \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{FP}\), \(O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})\). Then
\(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' C\) implies that \(\exists O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})\), \(V \subseteq N(O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}})\) such that
\(O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} q' V \Rightarrow \overline{O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}} \subseteq V^C \Rightarrow \overline{O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}} \subseteq O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}\).
Conversely, let \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in X_{FP}\), \(F \in \eta^C\) be such that \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' F\).

Then \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \subseteq F^C\) so, \(F^C \subseteq N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \Rightarrow O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}\) such that
\(\overline{O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}} \subseteq O_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} = F^C\) (by hypothesis), hence \(F \subseteq \overline{O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}} = O_F\) and
\(O_F q' O^*_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \Rightarrow (X, \eta) \in IF-R_2\).

Theorem 3.11
Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS. Then \((X, \eta)\) is IF-R_3 iff \(\forall F \in \eta^C\), \(\forall O_F \subseteq N(F)\)
there exists \(O^*_F \subseteq N(F)\) such that \(\overline{O^*_F} \subseteq O_F\).
Proof. It is similar to that of the above theorem.

Theorem 3.12
Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IFTS. Then the following implications hold:
\(IF-T_4 \Rightarrow IF-T_3 \Rightarrow IF-T_2 \Rightarrow IF-T_1 \Rightarrow IF-T_0\)
\(\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \)
\(IF-R_3 \land IF-R_0 \Rightarrow IF-R_2 \Rightarrow IF-R_1 \Rightarrow IF-R_0 \Rightarrow any IFSpace\).

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 3.13
An intuitionistic fuzzy topological property (IFTP for short) for an IFTS \((X, \eta)\), will be called good extension property if, \((X, \tau)\) has the fuzzy topological property (FTP for short) iff \((X, \tau \star \tau)\) has the fuzzy topological property IFTP.

Now we shall show that all intuitionistic fuzzy regularity axioms \((IF - R_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3)\) are good extensions properties.
**Theorem 3.14** The IF-regularity axioms (IF- $R_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are good extension properties.

Proof. As a sample we prove the cases ($i = 2$) the remaining cases are similar.

For $i = 2$. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a FR$_2$-space, $x_{(a, \beta)} q' F$, $F = (F_1, F_2) \in \tau^C \times \tau^C$.

Then $x_a q' F_2$ and $x_\beta q' F_1$ where $F_1, F_2 \in \tau^C \Rightarrow \exists O_{x_a}, O_{F_1}, O_{x_\beta}$ and $O_{F_2}$ s.t $O_{x_a} q' O_{F_2}$ and $O_{x_\beta} q' O_{F_1}$. Take $O^*_{x_a} = O_{x_a} \cap O_{x_\beta}$ and $O^*_{F_1} = O_{F_1} \cap O_{F_2}$.

Then $O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} = (O^*_{x_a}, O^*_{x_\beta})$ and, $O_E = (O^*_{F_1}, O^*_{F_2})$ such that $O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} q' O_E \Rightarrow (X, \tau \times \tau)$ is IF-R$_2$.

Conversely, let $(X, \tau \times \tau)$ be an IF-R$_2$ space, $x_a q' F$, $F \in \tau^C$. Then $(x_a, x_a) q' (F, F)$ i.e. $x_{(a, a)} q' F = (F, F) \in \tau^C \times \tau^C \Rightarrow \exists O_{x_{(a, a)}} = (O_{x_a}, O_{x_a}), O_E = (O_F, O_F)$ such that $O_{x_{(a, a)}} q' O_E \Rightarrow \exists O_{x_a}, O_F$ such that $O_{x_a} q' O_F$, hence $(X, \tau)$ is FR$_2$.

Now we shall show that the separation axioms (IF- $T_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are good extensions.

**Theorem 3.15**

The IF-separation axioms (IF- $T_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are good extension properties.

Proof. As a sample we prove the cases ($i = 1, 3$) the remaining cases are similar.

i) For $i = 1$. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a FT$_1$-space and let $x_{(a, \beta)}$ be an IFP of $(X, \tau \times \tau)$. Then $\overline{x}_{(a, \beta)} = (\overline{x}_a, \overline{x}_\beta) = (x_a, x_\beta) = x_{(a, \beta)}$, (since $(X, \tau) \in$ FT$_1$).

Thus $(X, \tau \times \tau)$ is IF-T$_1$.

Conversely, Let $(X, \tau \times \tau)$ be an IF-T$_1$ space, $x_a$ be a fuzzy point of $(X, \tau)$. Then $\overline{x}_{(a, a)} = (\overline{x}_a, \overline{x}_a) = x_{(a, a)} = (x_a, x_a)$ i.e. $\overline{x}_a = x_a$. Hence $(X, \tau)$ is FT$_1$-space.

ii) For $i = 3$. The proof follows from ii) of the above theorem and from i).

**Theorem 3.16**

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a fuzzy topological space. Then $(X, \tau \times \tau)$ is IF- $T_0 \Rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is FT$_0$-space.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau \times \tau)$ be an IF-T$_0$ space, $x_a q' y_\beta$. Then
The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true.

**Example 3.17** Let \( X = \{ a \} \), and \( \tau = \{ a : t \leq 1/2 \} \cup \{ X \} \) be a fuzzy topology on \( X \). Then \((X, \tau)\) is FT-0-space. But the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces \((X, \tau) \) is also p.

**Definition 3.18** A property \( p \) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological property or intuitionistic fuzzy topological invariant if an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space \((X, \eta)\) has \( p \) then every space homeomorphic to space \((X, \eta)\) has also \( p \).

**Theorem 3.19**

The regularity axioms (IF- \( R_i \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) are intuitionistic fuzzy topological properties.

Proof: As a sample we prove the cases \( i = 2 \).

For \( i = 2 \). Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF- \( R_2 \) and let \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*)\) be an IF-homeomorphism. Let \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) be IFP in \( Y \) and \( F \in \eta^* \) such that \( x_{(a, \beta)} \rightarrow E \), then \( f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)}) \rightarrow f^{-1}(E) \). \( f^{-1}(E) \in \eta^* \). Since \((X, \eta)\) is IF- \( R_2 \). Then there exists \( O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)})} \) and \( O_{f^{-1}(E)} \) such that \( O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)})} \rightarrow O_{f^{-1}(E)} \). Now put, \( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} = \left( f\left( O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)})} \right) \right)^c \in \eta^* \), \( O_{H} = \left( f\left( O_{f^{-1}(H)} \right) \right)^c \in \eta^* \). Then there exists \( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \) and \( O_{H} \) such that \( O_{x_{(a, \beta)}} \rightarrow O_{H} \). Hence \((Y, \eta^*)\) is IF- \( R_2 \).

**Theorem 3.20**

The separation axioms (IF- \( T_i \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) are intuitionistic fuzzy topological properties.

Proof. As a sample we prove the cases \( i = 1 \).

For \( i = 1 \). Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF- \( T_1 \) and let \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*)\) be an IF-homeomorphism. Let \( x_{(a, \beta)}, y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \) are IFPs in \( Y \) such that \( x_{(a, \beta)} \rightarrow y_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \).

Then \( f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)}) \rightarrow f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}) \). Since \((X, \eta)\) is IF- \( T_1 \). Then

\[
(f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)}) \rightarrow f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma, \lambda)})) \quad \text{and} \quad (f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}) \rightarrow f^{-1}(x_{(a, \beta)})) \Rightarrow
\]
\[ f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)}) q' f^{-1}(\gamma_{(\gamma,\lambda)}) \text{ and } f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}) q' f^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{(a,\beta)}) \] (by theorem (2.20)) \[ \Rightarrow f f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)}) q' f^{-1}(\gamma_{(\gamma,\lambda)}) \text{ and } f f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}) q' f^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{(a,\beta)}) \]

\[ \Rightarrow x_{(a,\beta)} q' \gamma_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \text{ and } y_{(\gamma,\lambda)} q' \tilde{x}_{(a,\beta)} \Rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \text{ is } IF-T_1. \]

**Theorem 3.21**

The axioms IF-R_i and IF-T_i, \( i = 1,4 \) are invariant under IF-continuous and IF-closed onto map.

Proof. i) Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF \(- R_3\) and let \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \) be an IF-continuous, IF-closed and onto map. Let \( M, G \in \eta^C \) such that \( \mathcal{M} \ q' \mathcal{G} \).

Then \( f^{-1}[\mathcal{M}] q' f^{-1}[\mathcal{G}] \) and \( f^{-1}[\mathcal{M}], f^{-1}[\mathcal{G}] \in \eta^C \).

Since \((X, \eta)\) is an IF \(- R_3\), then there exists \( O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{M}]} \), \( O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{G}]} \in \eta \) such that

\[ O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{M}]} q' O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{G}]}. \]

Let \( U = \left(f[O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{M}]^C}\right]^C \text{ and } V = \left(f[O_{f^{-1}[\mathcal{G}]}]^C\right). \)

Then \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq U \subseteq \eta^*, \mathcal{G} \subseteq V \subseteq \eta^* \) and \( U \ q' V \), Then \((Y, \eta^*)\) is \( IF-R_3 \).

ii) For \( i = 1 \). Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF \(- T_1\), \( f : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \eta^*) \) be an IF-continuous IF-closed and onto map. Let \( x_{(a,\beta)}, y_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \) are IFPs in \( Y \) such that \( x_{(a,\beta)} q' y_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \).

Then \( f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)}) q' f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}) \).

Since \((X, \eta)\) is \( IF-T_1 \), then there exists \( O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)})} \) and \( O_{f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)})} \in \eta \) such that

\[ O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)})} q' f^{-1}(y_{\gamma,\lambda}) \text{ and } f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)}) q' O_{f^{-1}(y_{\gamma,\lambda})}. \]

Now take

\[ U = \left(f(O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)})}^C)\right)^C \text{ and } V = \left(f(O_{f^{-1}(y_{\gamma,\lambda})}^C)\right)^C \]

then there exists \( O_{x_{(a,\beta)}} = U \subseteq \eta^* \) and \( O_{y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}} = V \subseteq \eta^* \) (since \( f \) is IF-closed) such that

\[ f^{-1}(O_{x_{(a,\beta)}}) \subseteq O_{f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)})} \text{ and } f^{-1}(O_{y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}) \subseteq O_{f^{-1}(y_{(\gamma,\lambda)})} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(O_{x_{(a,\beta)}}) q' f^{-1}(y_{\gamma,\lambda}) \text{ and } f^{-1}(O_{y_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}) \subseteq f^{-1}(x_{(a,\beta)}) \]

Hence from (ii) of theorem (3.3) we obtain \((Y, \eta^*)\) is \( IF-T_1 \).

iii) For \( i = 4 \). The proof follows from i) and ii).
4. The Relations between fuzzy separation axioms of IFTSs and that of induced fuzzy topological spaces:

**Theorem 4.1** If the IFTS \((X, \eta)\) is IF-\(T_0\), then \((X, \Pi_1), (X, \Pi_2)\) are FT_0.

Proof. Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF-\(T_0\), \(x_a q' y_\beta\). Then \((x_\alpha, x_\alpha) q' (y_\beta, y_\beta)\) i.e. \(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)} q' y_{(\beta,\beta)} \Rightarrow \exists\ \text{IFOS} O_{x_{(\alpha,\alpha)}} = (A_1, A_2) \in N(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)})\) such that \(y_{(\beta,\beta)} q' O_{x_\alpha} = A_1 \in \Pi_1\) or there exists an IFOS \(O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} = (B_1, B_2) \in N(y_{(\beta,\beta)})\) such that \(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)} q' O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} = (B_1, B_2)\) \(\Rightarrow x_\alpha q' B_1 = O_{y_\beta} \in \Pi_1 \Rightarrow (X, \Pi_1)\) is FT_0. The rest is similar.

The converse of the above theorem may not be true in general this can be shown by an example (3.18), where \(\tau = \Pi_1 = \Pi_2\).

**Theorem 4.2** If the IFTS \((X, \eta)\) is IF-\(T_1\), then \((X, \Pi_1), (X, \Pi_2)\) are FT_1.

Proof. Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF-\(T_1\), \(x_a q' y_\beta \Rightarrow (x_\alpha, x_\alpha) q' (y_\beta, y_\beta)\) i.e. \(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)} q' y_{(\beta,\beta)} \Rightarrow \) there exists an IFOS \(O_{x_{(\alpha,\alpha)}} = (A_1, A_2) \in N(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)})\) such that \(y_{(\beta,\beta)} q' (A_1, A_2) \Rightarrow y_\beta q' A_1 = O_{x_\alpha} \in \Pi_1\) and there exists an IFOS \(O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} = (B_1, B_2) \in N(y_{(\beta,\beta)})\) such that \(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)} q' O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} = (B_1, B_2) \Rightarrow x_\alpha q' B_1 = O_{y_\beta} \in \Pi_1\) and hence \((X, \Pi_1)\) is FT_1-space. The rest is similar.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true.

**Example 4.3** Let \(X = \{a\}\), and \(\eta = \{(a, a) : t \leq r \leq 1/2\} \bigcup \{(a, a) : t = r \geq 1/2\}\) be an IFT on \(X\). Then \((X, \eta)\) is not IF-\(T_1\)-topological space. But the induced fuzzy topological spaces \((X, \Pi_i), i = 1, 2\), where \(\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 = \{a_i : t \in I = [0, 1]\}\) are FT_1-spaces.

**Theorem 4.4** For any pair of fuzzy topological spaces \((X, \tau_i), i = 1, 2\). Then \((X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2)\) is IF-\(T_1\)-iff. \((X, \tau_i), i = 1, 2\) are FT_1-topological spaces.

Proof. It is clear.

**Theorem 4.5** If the IFTS \((X, \eta)\) is IF-\(T_2\), then \((X, \Pi_1)\) is FT_2.

Proof. Let \((X, \eta)\) be an IF-\(T_2\), \(x_\alpha q' y_\beta\). Then \(x_{(\alpha,\alpha)} q' y_{(\beta,\beta)} \Rightarrow \exists\ \text{IFOS} O_{x_{(\alpha,\alpha)}} = (A_1, A_2)\) and IFOS \(O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} = (B_1, B_2)\) such that \(O_{x_{(\alpha,\alpha)}} q' O_{y_{(\beta,\beta)}} \Rightarrow A_1 q' B_2\), since \(B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow A_1 q' B_1 \Rightarrow \exists O_{x_\alpha} = A_1 \in \Pi_1, O_{y_\beta} = B_1 \in \Pi_1\) such that \(O_{x_\alpha} q' O_{y_\beta} \Rightarrow (X, \Pi_1)\) is FT_2.
The following example shows that the converse of above theorem may not be true.

**Example 4.6**

Let $X = \{ a \}$, $\tau = \{ a_i : t \leq 1/2 \} \cup \{ X \}$ be a fuzzy topological space. Then: $(X, I^X)$ is $FT_2$. But the intuitionistic fuzzy topological space $(X, \eta)$, where $\eta = I^X \times \tau = \{(a_r, a_r) : r \leq t \leq 1/2 \} \cup \{(a_r, X) : r \in I \}$ on $X$ is not IF-$T_2$, since for $a_{(0,4,0,7)} q^r a_{(0,3,0,6)}$ then,

$$\Rightarrow \exists \ O_{a_{(0,4,0,7)}} \text{ and } \exists \ O_{a_{(0,3,0,6)}} \text{ such that } O_{a_{(0,4,0,7)}} q^r O_{a_{(0,3,0,6)}}.$$

The following example shows that the IFTS$(X, \eta)$ is IF-$T_2$, for which $(X, \Pi_2)$ is not $FT_2$.

**Example 4.7**

Let $X$ be an infinite set, and $\tau_\infty = \{ A \in I^X : S(A^c) \text{ is finite} \} \cup \{ \phi \}$ be a fuzzy topology on $X$, where $S(A^c)$ is the support of $A^c$. Then the IFTS$(X, I^X \times \tau_\infty)$ is an IF-$T_3$. But the fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau_\infty)$ is not $FT_2$, since first $I^X \times \tau_\infty$ is IF $- T_1$ because,

$$\overline{x_{(a,\beta)}} = (\overline{x_{a}}, \overline{x_{\tau_\infty}} \cup \overline{x_{\beta}}) = x_{(a,\beta)} \ \forall x_{(a,\beta)} \text{ and } I^X \times \tau_\infty$ is IF $- R_2$ because,

for $x_{(a,\beta)} q^r F = (F_1, F_2) \in \tau_\infty \times I^X \Rightarrow x_{a} q^r F_2$ and $x_{\beta} q^r F_1 \in \tau_\infty$,

$$\Rightarrow F_2 \subseteq x_{a} c = O_{F_1} \subseteq \tau_\infty \text{ and } x_{\beta} \subseteq F_1 c = O_{x_{\beta}} \subseteq \tau_\infty \Rightarrow (1).$$

Now $(X, I^X)$ is $FR_2$, $x_{a} q^r F_2 \Rightarrow \exists O_{x_{a}} = x_{a} \in I^X$ and $O_{F_2} = x_{a} c \in \tau_\infty \text{ from(1)}$ with $O_{x_{a}} q^r O_{F_2}$. Now put $O_{x_{(a,\beta)}} = (O_{x_{a}}, O_{x_{\beta}}) = (x_{a}, F_1 c) \in I^X \times \tau_\infty \text{, } O_{x_{a}} c = (O_{F_1}, O_{F_2}) = (F_1, x_{a} c) \in I^X \times \tau_\infty \text{ such that } O_{x_{(a,\beta)}} q^r O_{x_{a}}$.

5. The Relation between separation axioms of fuzzy topological spaces and that of induced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

**Lemma 5.1**

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a fuzzy topological space and $(X, \tau \times I^X)$ be the first IBTF-topological space induced by $\tau$ (see example 2.12). Then for any IFS $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ we have:

i) $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = (A_1, \overline{A_2})$,

ii) $A_1^* = (A_1^o, A_2)$, where the closure of $A_2$ and interior of $A_1$ w.r. to $\tau$

Proof. Follows from theorems (2.16), (2.17).

**Theorem 5.2** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a fuzzy topological space. Then:

$(X, \tau \times I^X)$ is $IF - R_i$ $\Rightarrow$ $(X, \tau)$ is $FR_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.
Proof. As a sample we prove the cases \( i = 3 \) the remaining cases are similar. For \( i = 3 \). Let \((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X) \in IF - R_3 \), \( G q'/ F \) where \( G, F \in \tau^C \)

\[ \Rightarrow G = (G, G) q' (F, F) = F, \quad G, F \in I^X \hat{\times} \tau^C. \]

\((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X) \) being IF-R_3, thus \( \exists O_\subset G = (A_1, A_2), O_E = (B_1, B_2) \in \tau \hat{\times} I^X \) such that \( O_\subset q' O_E \Rightarrow A_1 q' B_2 \Rightarrow A_1 q' B_1 \) i.e. \( \exists O_G = A_1 \in \tau, O_F = B_1 \in \tau \) such that \( O_G q' O_F \). Hence \((X, \tau)\) is FR_3.

The next example shows that the converse of the above theorems is not true.

**Example 5.3** Let \( X = \{ x, y, z \} \) and let,

\[ \tau = \{ X, \phi, (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_0), (x_{0.5}, y_0, z_{0.5}), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_{0.5}) \} \]

be a fuzzy topology on \( X \), and

\[ \tau^C = \{ X, \phi, (x_{0.5}, y_1, z_1), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_1), (x_{0.5}, y_1, z_{0.5}), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_{0.5}) \} \].

Then \((X, \tau)\) is FR_3. But the intuitionistic fuzzy topological space

\((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X)\) is not IF-R_0.

**Theorem 5.4**

Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then \((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X)\) is IF - T_0 \( \Rightarrow (X, \tau) \) is FT_0. Proof. Follows from theorem (4.1).

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true.

**Example 5.5**

Let \( X = \{ a \} \) and let \( \tau = \{ a_t : t \geq 1/2 \} \cup \{ \phi \} \) be a fuzzy topology on \( X \). Then \((X, \tau)\) is FT_0. But the intuitionistic fuzzy topology structure,

\[ \tau \hat{\times} I^X = \{ (a_t, a_r) : t \leq r \geq 1/2 \} \cup \{ (\phi, a_r) : r \in I \} \]

is not IF-T_0.

**Theorem 5.6** Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then:

\((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X)\) is IF - T_i \( \Leftrightarrow (X, \tau) \) is FT_i, \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \).

Proof. As a sample we prove the cases \( i = 1,2 \)

i) For \( i = 1 \). Necessity follows from theorem (4.2).

Conversely, let \((X, \tau)\) be a FT_1, \( x_{(a, \beta)} \) be any IFP in \((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X)\). Then

\[ \bar{x}_{(a, \beta)} = (\bar{x}_a^2, \bar{x}_a^2 \cap x_\beta^{-1}) = (x_a^2, \bar{x}_\beta) = x_{(a, \beta)}, \] since \((X, \tau)\) is FT_1, hence \((X, \tau \hat{\times} I^X)\) is IF-T_1.

ii) For \( i = 2 \). Necessity follows from theorem (4.5).

Conversely, let \((X, \tau)\) is a FT_2, \( x_{(a, \beta)} q^' y_{(y, \lambda)} \) where \( x_{(a, \beta)}, y_{(y, \lambda)} \) are IFPS in \( X \). Then \( x_a q^' y_\lambda \wedge x_\beta q^' y_y \Rightarrow (\exists O_x, O_y \in \tau) \) such that
\[ O_{x_{\alpha}} q' O_{y_{\beta}} \] and \( ( \exists O_{x_{\alpha}}, O_{y_{\beta}} \in \tau \) such that \( O_{x_{\alpha}} q' O_{y_{\beta}} \). Now take
\[ O_{x_{\alpha}}^* = O_{x_{\alpha}} \cap O_{x_{\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad O_{y_{\beta}}^* = O_{y_{\beta}} \cap O_{y_{x}}. \]
Then \( O_{x(\alpha, \beta)} = (O_{x_{\alpha}}^*, O_{x_{\beta}}) \) and
\[ O_{y(\tau, \lambda)} = (O_{\tau_{\tau}}, O_{\lambda_{\lambda}}) \in \tau \times I^X \] such that \( O_{x(\alpha, \beta)} q' O_{y(\tau, \lambda)} \). The result holds.

**Lemma 5.7**

Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space and \((X, I^X \times \tau)\) be the second IBTF-topological space induced by \(\tau\) (example 2.12). Then for any IFS
\[ A = (A_1, A_2) \] we have:

\(i)\quad \overline{A} = (\overline{A_1}, \overline{A_1} \cup A_2),\)

\(ii)\quad \overline{\overline{A}} = (\overline{A_1} \cap A_2^\circ, A_2^\circ),\)

\(iii)\quad \overline{x(\alpha, \beta)} = (\overline{x_\alpha}, \overline{x_\beta}), \) where the closure of \(A_1, x_\alpha\) and interior of \(A_2\) are with respect to \(\tau\).

**Proof.** Follows from theorem (2.16), (2.17).

**Theorem 5.8**

Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then \((X, I^X \times \tau) \in IF-R_0 \Rightarrow (X, \tau) \in FR_0\).

**Proof.** It is clear.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true.

**Example 5.9** Let \(X = \{ x, y, z \}\) and let,
\[ \tau = \{ X, \phi, (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_0), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_0), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_{0.5}) \} \]
be a fuzzy topology on \(X\) and,
\[ \tau^C = \{ X, \phi, (x_{0.5}, y_{1, z_1}), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_1), (x_{0.5}, y_{0.5}, z_{0.5}) \}. \]
Then \((X, \tau)\) is FR3. But the intuitionistic fuzzy topological space \((X, I^X \times \tau)\) is not IF-R0.

**Theorem 5.10** Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then:

\(i)\quad (X, I^X \times \tau) \text{ is } IF-T_0 \Rightarrow (X, \tau) \text{ is } FT_0,\)

\(ii)\quad (X, I^X \times \tau) \text{ is } IF-T_1 \iff (X, \tau) \text{ is } FT_1.\)

**Proof.** It is clear.

**Note:** The converse of \(i)\) of the above theorem my not be true in general, this can be shown by example (4.6), where \((X, \tau)\) is \(FT_0\). But \((X, I^X \times \tau)\) is not IF-T0.

**Theorem 5.11**
Let \((X, \tau)\) be a fuzzy topological space. Then \((X, \tau)\) is \(FT_i \Rightarrow (X, I^X \hat{\times} \tau)\) is \(IF-T_i, i = 2,3\).

Proof. For \(i=2\). Let \((X, \tau)\) be a \(FT_2\), \(x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q' y_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\). Then \(x_{\alpha} q' y_{\lambda} \land x_{\beta} q' y_{\gamma}\) implies \(\exists O_{x_{\alpha}}, O_{y_{\lambda}} \in \tau\) such that \(O_{x_{\alpha}} \cap O_{y_{\lambda}} \in \tau\) and \(\exists O_{x_{\beta}}, O_{y_{\gamma}} \in \tau\) such that \(O_{x_{\beta}} \cap O_{y_{\gamma}}\). Now take, \(O^{*}_{x_{\alpha}} = O_{x_{\alpha}} \cap O_{x_{\beta}}\) and \(O^{*}_{y_{\gamma}} = O_{y_{\gamma}} \cap O_{y_{\lambda}}\), then \(O^{*}_{x(\alpha, \beta)} = (O^{*}_{x_{\alpha}}, O^{*}_{x_{\beta}}) \in I^X \hat{\times} \tau, O^{*}_{y(\gamma, \lambda)} = (O^{*}_{y_{\gamma}}, O^{*}_{y_{\lambda}}) \in I^X \hat{\times} \tau\) such that \(O^{*}_{x(\alpha, \beta)} q' O^{*}_{y(\gamma, \lambda)}\), and so \((X, I^X \hat{\times} \tau)\) is IF-T2. The rest case is similar.

**Note.** The example (4.7) shows that the converse of the above theorem may not be true in general.
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